

न्यायालय मुख्य आयुक्त विकलांगजन COURT OF CHIEF COMMISSIONER FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES

विकलांगजन संशक्तिकरण विभाग / Department of Empowerment of Persons with Disabilities सामाजिक न्याय और अधिकारिता मंत्रालय / Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment भारत सरकार / Government of India

Case No.4238/1011/2015

Dated: 29.03.2017

In the matter of:

Dr. Nitesh Kumar Tripathi, Th. No. 08, A-1 Block, Bengali Colony Sant Nagar, Burari, Delhi-110084.

Complainant

Versus

The Director,
National Institute for Research in Productive Health,
J.M. Street, Parel, Mumbai-400012.

Respondent

ORDER

The above named complainant, a person with 65% locomotor disability filed a e-mail complaint dated 03.05.2015 before the Chief Commissioner for the Persons with Disabilities under the Persons with Disabilities (Equal Opportunities, Protection of Rights and Full Participation) Act, 1995, hereinafter referred to as the 'Act' regarding non-implementation of provisions of Persons with Disabilities Act by the by the National Institute for Research in Reproductive Health.

- 2. The complainant submitted that NIRRH has published an advertisement for the post of Research Associate and the advertisement is not showing exact number of seats reserved for persons with disabilities. He has requested to direct the respondent to provide full exemption from the payment of application fee, 10 years age relaxation, extra time during examination, travel expenses to attend interview along with an escort, disabled friendly environment during the interview and to also conduct the Special Recruitment Drive for filling up the backlog vacancies for persons with disabilities.
- 3. The matter was taken up under Section 59 of the Act with the respondent vide this Court's letter dated 19.05.2015 followed by reminders dated 14.08.2015, 16.11.2015 and 29.01.2016.
- 4. The respondent vide letter No. P-1(2)-Est.2009 dated 16.05.2016 submitted the updated information in the Annexure. They further submitted that they have been following the guidelines and the vacancies are under process for advertisement.
- 5. The respondent vide letter No.P-1(2)-Estt.2009 dated 30.12.2014 submitted that they advertised the post of 'B') in the year 2010 which was reserved for OBC/Physically Handicapped. Accordingly, the vacancy was filled up per merit. They have been following the directions issued by the Ministry of Social Justice. The details of the staff appointed against the quota are enclosed in the prescribed format.
- 6. After perusal of the reply of the respondent and record available, the Court observed that there does not seem any violation of the provisions of Persons with Disabilities Act, 1995, DoP&T's O.Ms. and Government instructions. Hence, the case is closed without giving any directions to the respondent.

(Dr. Kamlesh Kumar Pandey)

Chief Commissioner for persons with Disabilities